1 2 3 4	DANIEL G. KNAUSS United States Attorney District of Arizona SUE A. KLEIN Assistant U.S. Attorney (Az. Bar #11253) Two Renaissance Square 40 North Central Avenue Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 (602) 514-7500		
5	E-mail: sue.klein@usdoj.gov		
6	RONALD J. TENPAS Acting Assistant Attorney General ANDREW A. SMITH		
7	Trial Attorney (NM Bar #8341) United States Department of Justice		
8	Environment and Natural Resources Division c/o U.S. Attorneys Office		
9	P.O. Box 607 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103 (505) 224-1468		
10	E-mail: andrew.smith@usdoj.gov		
11	Attorneys for Federal Defendants		
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
14	RIVER RUNNERS FOR WILDERNESS, et al.,)) Civ. No. 06-0894-PCT-DGC	
15	Plaintiffs,)	
16	V.	 FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO FILE OVER-LENGTH SUMMARY 	
17	STEPHEN P. MARTIN, et al., ¹) JUDGMENT REPLY BRIEF	
18	Federal Defendants,)	
19	GRAND CANYON RIVER OUTFITTERS ASSOCIATION;		
20	GRAND CANYON PRIVATE BOATERS ASSOCIATION,)	
21	Defendant-Intervenors.)	
22)	
23	Federal Defendants, by and thr	ough undersigned counsel of record, hereby	
24	respectfully request a two-page extension of the page limit for their October 3, 2007 "Reply		
25	Brief in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment," Dkt. No. 81. Federal Defendants'		
26			
27	¹ Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d), Grand Canyon National Park, is substitute	Stephen P. Martin, the current Superintendent of ed for his predecessor, Joseph F. Alston.	

Federal Defendants' Motion to File Over-length Brief-- 1 --

1 Summary Judgment Reply Brief is 22 pages. Under the Court's May 30, 2007 "Order 2 Granting Joint Motion to Extend Page Limits on Summary Judgment Briefing," Dkt. No. 59, 3 Federal Defendants were afforded 20 pages to respond to Plaintiffs' 50-page summary 4 judgment response/reply brief. While Federal Defendants made every reasonable effort to 5 keep within this page limit, reducing many of their arguments to a bare minimum and 6 eliminating citations to case law and Administrative Record materials that would have been helpful to the Court, Federal Defendants were unable to reduce the content any further than 7 8 22 pages without seriously affecting the substance.

9 Plaintiffs, through counsel of record, have been consulted, and indicated that they 10 opposed an extension to 25 pages, but counsel for Federal Defendants was unable to obtain 11 Plaintiffs' position on an extension to 22 pages before filing this Motion. Federal Defendants 12 note, however, that Plaintiffs' pleading paper allows 28 lines per page, whereas Federal 13 Defendants' pleading paper allows only 27 lines per page. Had Plaintiffs' 50-page 14 response/reply brief been formatted on Federal Defendants' pleading paper, it would have 15 been at least two pages longer or, conversely, Federal Defendants' reply brief would have 16 been only 21 pages had it been formatted on Plaintiffs' pleading paper. Federal Defendants 17 also note that Plaintiffs cannot claim prejudice from Federal Defendants' two extra pages 18 because Defendant-Intervenor Grand Canyon Private Boaters Association's reply brief was 19 only nine pages, instead of the 20 pages it was allocated. Thus, the total of Federal 20 Defendants' reply brief and the two Defendant-Intervenors' reply briefs, even with the 21 extension requested here, is only 51 of the total of 60 pages they were allocated.

THEREFORE Federal Defendants respectfully request that the Court extend the page
limit for Federal Defendants' October 3, 2007 "Reply Brief in Support of Motion for
Summary Judgment," Dkt. No. 81, to 22 pages.

25
26
27
Dated: October 3, 2007.
DANIEL G. KNAUSS United States Attorney District of Arizona

1	SUE A. KLEIN Assistant U.S. Attorney
2	RONALD J. TENPAS
3	Acting Assistant Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice
4	Environment and Natural Resources Division
5	s/ Andrew A. Smith ANDREW A. SMITH
6	Trial Attorney Natural Resources Section
7	Of Counsel:
8	ROBERT C. EATON
9	Office of the Solicitor U.S. Department of the Interior
10	Attorneys for Federal Defendants
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	Federal Defendants' Motion to File Over-length Brief 3 Civ. No. 06-0894-PCT-DGC

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2 3	I hereby certify that on October 3, 2007, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Fling to the following CM/ECF registrants:		
4	Matthew Bishop Western Environmental Law Center 108B Civic Plaza Drive P.O. Box 1507		
5			
6	Taos, New Mexico 87571 505-751-0351		
7	bishop@westernlaw.org		
8	Julia A. Olsen Wild Earth Advocates		
9	2985 Adams Street Eugene, Oregon 97405		
10	541-344-7066 jaoearth@aol.com		
11	Jonathan D Simon		
12	Van Ness Feldman PC		
13	1050 Thomas Jefferson St NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20007		
14	202-298-1932 jxs@vnf.com		
15	Lori Potter		
16	Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell LLP 1675 Broadway, Suite 2300		
17	Denver, Colorado 80202 303-825-7000		
18	lpotter@kaplankirsch.com		
19			
20	s/ Andrew A. Smith Andrew A. Smith		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	Federal Defendants' Motion to File Over-length Brief 4 Civ. No. 06-0894-PCT-DGC		